WO

## **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**

DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

**United States of America** 

## ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL

	V.	
Jesu	s Manuel Valenzuela-Trejo	Case Number: <u>13-7151m</u>
was present	and was represented by counsel. I conclude by er the detention of the defendant pending trial in	, the issue of detention has been submitted to the Court. Defendant a preponderance of the evidence the defendant is a serious flight this case.  NGS OF FACT
I find by a pr	reponderance of the evidence that:	
×	The defendant is not a citizen of the United	States or lawfully admitted for permanent residence.
	The defendant, at the time of the charged o	ffense, was in the United States illegally.
	If released herein, the defendant faces removal proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Customs Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court and the defendant has previously been deported or otherwise removed.	
	The defendant has no significant contacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona.	
	The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.	
×	The defendant has a prior criminal history.	
	The defendant lives/works in Mexico.	
	The defendant is an amnesty applicant but substantial family ties to Mexico.	nas no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and has
	There is a record of prior failure to appear in	court as ordered.
	The defendant attempted to evade law enfo	rcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.
	The defendant is facing a maximum of	years imprisonment.
The Court at the	time of the hearing in this matter, except as note	
4		USIONS OF LAW
1. 2.	There is a serious risk that the defendant winner No condition or combination of conditions w	ill reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required.
		EGARDING DETENTION
in a correction pending apporter of a co	ons facility separate, to the extent practicable, from the defendant shall be afforded a reasonal ourt of the United States or on request of an attoideliver the defendant to the United States Marsh	torney General or his/her designated representative for confinement om persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody ble opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On many for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections hal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court THIRD PARTY RELEASE
IT IS	ORDERED that should an appeal of this deten	tion order be filed with the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility
to deliver a c District Cour from the date	copy of the motion for review/reconsideration to t. Pursuant to Rule 59(a), FED.R.CRIM.P., effe e of service of a copy of this order or after the or ith the district court. Failure to timely file objecti	Pretrial Services at least one day prior to the hearing set before the ctive December 1, 2009, Defendant shall have fourteen (14) days ral order is stated on the record within which to file specific written ons in accordance with Rule 59(a) may waive the right to review.
Pretrial Serv		rd party is to be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify the District Court to allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to
DATE: <u>5/</u>	20/13	Bridget & bade
		Bridget S. Bade
		United States Magistrate Judge